This document provides a summary of the comments submitted during the public review period for the revised Tanana Valley State Forest Management Plan. Similar comments have been consolidated and summarized. The following matrices organize the comments roughly by category and provide DOF responses as well as a summary of changes that will be made to the final draft in response to comments.

| TIMBER MANAGEME | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Scale of management | harvests for each strata within each subunit should not exceed the volume deemed "sustainable" in any 10 year period. | TVSFMP provides principles and elements that guide the development of the AAC (see for | No change | | |
| Scale of management | Recommend delineation of smaller management units than subunit for management to maintain sustainability within. | ex. Pages 46-49). However, the data and methodology used for the calculation of AAC are | No change | | |
| Annual Allowable Cut | Recommend calculating AAC on a subunit basis | provided by the technical report, which is not a Decision Document | No change | | |
| Scale of Management | Recommend each Management Area (Kantishna, Fairbanks, Delta, Tok) is managed separately for sustainable harvest, rather than combining into volume units | (Page 47, lines 9-11). The most current management tool used for meeting Sustained Yield within TVSF is "Timber Inventory | No change | | |
| Annual Allowable Cut | Recommend a table-top exercise to determine sustained yield of birch in areas with good road access (e.g. 5A Nenana Ridge and 4C/4D Cache Ck. and Goldstream Ck.), and other areas where new logging roads could accessed with a reasonable haul distance from Fairbanks. | of State Forest Lands in the Tanana Valley" (Hanson, 2013), which uses an AAC methodology. DOF acknowledges that the geographic scale relevant to | No change | | |
| Annual Allowable Cut | Recommend added goal to apply the best science and re-evaluate Annual Allowable Cut (AAC) | forest users may vary. DOF is charged to manage the entirety of TVSF on behalf of all users and | No change | | |
| Scale of management | consider finer scale [management] resolution in areas where birch fuel wood harvest has and/or is planned to increase significantly. | consistent with the primary Legislative purpose of the State Forest. Forest managers use | No change | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMEI | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Annual Allowable Cut | Recommend addition to the section describing the scale at which Annual Allowable Cut is calculated (Chapter 2, pp. 50-51). The plan should clarify that AAC is done at the scale of management area, as is described in Hanson (2013:34), rather than at the scale of management units within the management area. | appropriate geographic scales in considering the location of timber sales, which include community and timber market scales. DOF currently evaluates | | | |
| Annual Allowable Cut | Provide a more detailed explanation of AAC. | proposed timber harvest volume within a management area setting: Kantishna, Fairbanks, Delta, Tok (Hanson, 2013). | Remove specific references to Hanson, 2013; refer readers to "most current inventory report" published by the division. | | |
| Annual Allowable Cut | The Plan should state AAC is calculated for each of the four management areas, and quote the specific methodology | Framing harvest volumes within the management area setting is appropriate to meet the timber | No change | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMEN | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | |
|------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Annual Allowable | The Plan should reference pg. 34, Hanson 2013, | needs within distinct | Reference to specific inventory | |
| Cut | Timber Inventory of State Forest Lands in the | communities and timber markets | reports in the TVSF management | |
| | Tanana Valley | while providing for sustained | plan will be replaced with "most | |
| | | yield of timber resources on this | recent and accurate available | |
| | | scale in perpetuity. | inventory data" | |
| | | Nonetheless, in the future land managers and forest users may find a different geographic frame to be more appropriate for providing sustained yield of forest resources. The scope of the TVSFMP is to provide principles and elements for use in meeting the Sustained Yield principle within the TVSF land base. DOF will continue to use the best | | |
| | | quality methods and data to | | |
| | | improve timber management | | |
| | | within the scope of its funding | | |
| | | and mission. | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMEI | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Annual Allowable Cut | Plan should recognize an emerging issue generating concern from the public: increasing birch fuelwood harvest. Some factual trend information should be included to acknowledge the trend: During four prior years 1,178 acres and 2,028,800 ft3 birch fuel wood was harvested in Unit 5A, Nenana Ridge. And in future years 2,628 acres and 4,454,400 ft3 are planned to be cut in Units 4C and 4D, south of Murphy Dome (most recent FYSTS for the Fairbanks area | DOF Areas report harvest data quarterly and annually. This information can be obtained for any time period. In addition, summaries of harvest activity and DOF analysis of harvest trends are publicly available in the DOF's annual report. | No change | | |
| Scale of Management | Recommend addition of explanation regarding which management unit annual allowable cut is calculated for and is used as the basis for sustained yield timber management decisions. | Annual allowable cut calculations and development are described in inventory reports that are published independently from the management plan. | Refer readers to most recent AAC determination documents, allow flexibility for management plan language to capture future updates to inventory or AAC calculations. | | |
| Reduction Factors | On Page 47, Line 36 a discussion ensues about utilizing reduction factors. Rationale is given here about why this is good thing and results in a conservative sustained yield estimate. On Page 48, Line 36 the discussion is about reducing the need for reduction factors. This seems like a contradiction. Reduction factors were utilized in the 2013 inventory report and with the size of the TVSF there are still many unknowns concerning harvest area feasibility. | Appropriate and technically sound reduction factors are an element that may be considered for a calculation of AAC. | Remove descriptions of technical development of AAC from TVSF management plan. Readers will be referred to the most recent AAC technical report available. | | |

| TIMBER MANAGE | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Silviculture | Page 49, Line 36 priorities for timber sales are given to maintenance of a range of forest types and stand ages that support diverse forest uses. Existing verbiage is somewhat backwards. Sales are located in such a manner as to provide reasonable access, logging terrain, quality of timber, marketability and the reduction of adverse effects to the resource and public. | DOF gives highest priority in locating and designating timber sales to comply with the primary Legislative purpose of the State Forest. A range of age classes and forest types result from active management of the forest for timber production. | No change | | |
| Silviculture | Consider adding language to the section on Silviculture and Harvest Practices (Chapter 2, page 55) committing to management practices that recognize the value of natural and old- growth stands and require harvest and regeneration practices that support natural ecosystem functioning. | DOF gives highest priority in locating and designating timber sales to comply with the primary Legislative purpose of the State Forest. A range of age classes and forest types result from active management of the forest for timber production. | No change | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEN | Imber Management Topics | | | | |
|----------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Silviculture | encourage management of the TVSF in a way | DOF gives highest priority in | No change | | |
| | that recognizes the value of the existing natural | locating and designating timber | | | |
| | and old-growth forest resource. Recognizing this | sales to comply with the primary | | | |
| | value can be done in the timing and layout of | Legislative purpose of the State | | | |
| | sales, the contractually required harvesting | Forest. A range of age classes and | | | |
| | techniques, the treatment of timber residues, | forest types result from active | | | |
| | site preparation, regeneration techniques, and | management of the forest for | | | |
| | possibly thinning or other treatments. Areas | timber production. | | | |
| | with particularly special qualities could be | | | | |
| | managed in special ways, even if it meant | Research Natural Areas are | | | |
| | requiring less economically efficient harvest | designated within the TVSFMP to | | | |
| | techniques. | "Maintain ecologically | | | |
| | | representative or unique sites in | | | |
| | | a natural state for observational | | | |
| | | research, education, and | | | |
| | | environmental monitoring" (page | | | |
| | | 36, lines 19-20). These areas will | | | |
| | | be retained in a natural state, | | | |
| | | consistent with MP intent. | | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEME | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Forest Inventory | encourage DOF to continue periodic re- measurement of permanent inventory plots throughout the forested lands of interior Alaska. | Timber Inventory of State Forest Lands in the Tanana Valley (Hanson, 2013) is the most current management tool used for meeting Sustained Yield within TVSF, and uses an AAC methodology. This is a valuable reference for land managers. DOF will continue to use the best quality methods and data to improve timber management within the scope of its funding and mission. | No change | | |
| Forest Inventory Forest inventory | Recommend regular review and re-calculation of inventory and annual allowable cut Recommend addition in Chapter 2, pg 51, Forest inventory: "The forest inventory will be updated as needed, and at least every 10 years, to account for changes to the timber base from administrative decisions, economic factors, environmental factors, or accessibility." | DOF will continue to use the best quality methods and data to improve timber management within the scope of its funding and mission. Technical reports, such as AAC calculations or forest inventories, | No change No change | | |
| Forest inventory/AAC calculation | Recommend addition to pg 53, "Procedures for Modification of Allowable Cut:" "The AC will be reviewed at least every five years to ensure that it reflects the current scientific understanding of forest health, yield, and mortality. The Division of Forestry will produce a written report outlining relevant data. Any modifications to the AC will follow the processes outlined above." | will be generated or updated as needed to meet the Sustained Yield principle within the TVSF landbase. | No change | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEME | Imber Management Topics | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Reduction factors | Chapter 2, page 51, under "Reduction Factors," such as: "A reduction factor will be applied to account for changes in forest yield or additional risk of disturbance projected to occur as a result of climate warming." | Reductions factors are " a reduction to the allowable cut for unknown, on-the-ground situations where timber harvest may not be feasible or | No change | | |
| Reduction Factors | incorporate risk of large-scale disturbance and projections related to yield into the AC determination as a way of managing conservatively in a time of rapid change. | appropriate." (page 47, lines 2-4). Changes in growth and yield of the forest land base will be reflected in updated inventories, rather than reduction factors as described. Appropriate and technically sound reduction factors are an element that may be considered for a calculation of AAC. Adaptive management is a central philosophy of this management plan and provides flexibility to meet unforeseen challenges (see for example pages 27-28, and Page 50, lines 24-26). | No change | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Value-added | encourages the Department of Natural | DOF gives highest priority in | No change | |
| Timber Products | Resources (DNR), Division of Forestry (DoF) to | locating and designating timber | | |
| | consider sales and prioritization that support | sales that comply with the | | |
| | regional value-added products as stated in the | primary Legislative purpose of | | |
| | draft document | the State Forest. A management | | |
| | | intent for TVSF is to bring the | | |
| | | entire land base under active | | |
| | | management (page 49, lines 27- | | |
| | | 28). | | |
| | | TVSFMP encourages harvest | | |
| | | opportunities in support of value- | | |
| | | added businesses (see page 45, | | |
| | | line 25, and page 50, line 11). | | |
| Silviculture | Manage the resource according to quality and | TVSFMP affirms the importance | No change | |
| | accessibility | of access and stand quality for | | |
| | | timber sale planning (see for | | |
| | | example pages 50-51). | | |

| TIMBER MANAGE | IMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|---------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Silviculture | language could be added to Chapter 2, page 50, | Future technical reports including | No change | | |
| | under "Annual Allowable Cut," such as: "The | AAC will be structured around | | | |
| | annual allowable cut will be determined | managing for a sustained yield of | | | |
| | separately for each marketable tree | forest products using the best | | | |
| | species/vegetation type class with marketable tree species." | information available. | | | |
| | | The most recent Tanana Valley | | | |
| | | Inventory (Hanson, 2013) | | | |
| | | delineates forest stands by | | | |
| | | stratum, where each stratum | | | |
| | | represents a category of timber | | | |
| | | type present within the Interior | | | |
| | | Alaska boreal forest. The | | | |
| | | Inventory calculates an annual | | | |
| | | acreage per year that each | | | |
| | | stratum contributes to the AAC | | | |
| | | by geographic area. Making | | | |
| | | harvest decisions within an AAC | | | |
| | | computed on the basis of all | | | |
| | | strata with the potential to grow | | | |
| | | into the saw- or poletimber size | | | |
| | | class is a comprehensive way to | | | |
| | | account for forest growth and | | | |
| | | yield over time. | | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMEN | IMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Silviculture | language could be added to Chapter 2, page 53, | DOF gives highest priority in | No change | | |
| | under "Availability of Timber for Harvest," such | locating and designating timber | | | |
| | as: "Timber resources will be made available in | sales that comply with the | | | |
| | such a way that future forest users will have | primary Legislative purpose of | | | |
| | comparable access to that enjoyed by current | the State Forest. A management | | | |
| | users." | intent for TVSF is to bring the | | | |
| | | entire land base under active | | | |
| | | management (page 49, lines 27- | | | |
| | | 28). Compatible management | | | |
| | | actions may increase the access | | | |
| | | of future generations compared | | | |
| | | to that enjoyed by current users. | | | |
| Long-Term Timber | Use conservative and adaptive management. A | DOF will continue to use the best | No change | | |
| management | reasonable approach to managing in a time of | quality methods and data to | | | |
| | rapid change, documented decline, and | improve timber management | | | |
| | anticipated disturbance would be to exercise | within the scope of its funding | | | |
| | caution and manage as conservatively as | and mission. | | | |
| | possible, such as by erring on the side of caution | | | | |
| | and using approaches that would prove | Adaptive management is a | | | |
| | reasonable under a wide range of future | central philosophy of this | | | |
| | scenarios. | management plan and provides | | | |
| | | flexibility to meet unforeseen | | | |
| | | challenges (see for example | | | |
| | | pages 27-28, and Page 50, lines | | | |
| | | 24-26). | | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Economic | Consider adding language to the section on | Statutory authority granted to | No change | |
| Objectives | Economic Objectives (Chapter 2, page 53) stating | DOF to manage TVSF highlights | | |
| | that it is in the state's best interest to harness | the commercial value of forest | | |
| | the full economic value of its forest resources, | land. However, DOF does not | | |
| | including by setting minimum prices on forest | presume the authority to | | |
| | resources with high natural value, use value, or | prioritize specific timber products | | |
| | other special qualities, or by requiring that | or types of business over others. | | |
| | suitable stands be used for highest and best use, | As in any free market system, the | | |
| | for instance lumber rather than fuelwood | value of the resource is set by the | | |
| | | price market participants are | | |
| | | willing to pay. | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMEN | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Long-term Timber | Consider leaving some areas, including areas | DOF gives highest priority in | No change | |
| Management | close to town, out of timber rotation. This would | locating and designating timber | | |
| | clearly meet one of the overarching objectives | sales that comply with the | | |
| | named in the 2020 Alaska Forest Action Plan, to | primary Legislative purpose of | | |
| | "enhance public benefits from trees and forests" | the State Forest. A management | | |
| | (page 2). There is also an argument to be made | intent for TVSF is to bring the | | |
| | that leaving some areas out of rotation is a | entire land base under active | | |
| | necessary part of sustainably managing the | management (page 49, lines 27- | | |
| | forest, for the role these natural areas could play | 28). | | |
| | in supporting regeneration and supporting and | | | |
| | continuing known and unknown ecosystem | Excluding from harvest areas with | | |
| | functions and services, and non-timber | existing access or near to | | |
| | resources specific to old or natural forests. | communities may disadvantage | | |
| | | forest users who may enjoy | | |
| | | "coincident benefits such as | | |
| | | increased road access, recreation | | |
| | | access, hazardous fuels | | |
| | | management, or habitat | | |
| | | management" (Page 50, lines 16- | | |
| | | 17). | | |
| Long-term Timber | By the same logic that Forestry is willing to offer | The no harvest option is an | No change | |
| Management | a harvest at an economic loss in order to achieve | implication of this management | | |
| | public goals, including biological diversity it | principle, and this option is | | |
| | should be willing to not offer a harvest, at no | pursued on a vast majority of | | |
| | cost to the state. | TVSF land base at any given time. | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMEN | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Silviculture | The discussion on reforestation methods was of interest particularly on birch reforestation. For both spruce and birch, perhaps wording should be changed to "is preferred" instead of "is required" for mineral soil occurrence and seed source. | Thank you for your comment | Change as described | | |
| Slash Management and Wood Salvage | encourage the DF&FP to consider contract conditions to increase salvage of firewood from feller buncher harvests | Slash management and timber product utilization standards are addressed within Forest Land Use | No change | | |
| Slash Management and Wood Salvage | Re: increasing birch fuelwood harvest. DOF should implement the recommendations of Paragi et al. (2020), and reaffirm them in the 2024 Plan revision. | Plans (FLUP) and timber sale contracts. Foresters regularly inspect sales for compliance with the FLUP, contract, and applicable | No change | | |
| Slash Management and Wood Salvage | this pg. 57, line 16 might be amended to include the following underlined text "Sprouting is unreliable for trees over 70 years or through dense debris piles remaining after certain harvest methods." | statutes and regulations. Foresters may include specific language in the FLUP and/or contract that address potential | No change | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Slash | urge DOF to make increased effort to raise public | challenges and mitigations to | No change |
| Management and | awareness of this significant additional fuel | achieving successful reforestation | |
| Wood Salvage | wood harvest opportunity after the commercial | | |
| | operator has completed harvesting and hauling | FLUPs specify silvicultural system, | |
| | | which include the anticipated | |
| | | method of regenerating a stand. | |
| | | FLUPs account for the silvics of | |
| | | the species, the ecology of the | |
| | | forest type, the site's productivity | |
| | | and the management objectives. | |
| | | Foresters conduct post-harvest | |
| | | regeneration surveys to meet | |
| | | statutory reforestation standards | |
| | | (see for example 11 AAC 95.375- | |
| | | 390). | |

| TIMBER MANAGEMEN | TIMBER MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Wildlife impacts of | Harvesting a large proportion of the mature | TVSFMP states "The wildlife | No change | |
| timber harvest | birch and/or white spruce-birch forest type | management objective of the | | |
| | could create localized impacts to a few bird | TVSF is "the production of wildlife | | |
| | species tied to those habitats. I offer the | for a high level of sustained yield | | |
| | following additional scientific information | for human use through habitat | | |
| | indicating the importance of smaller cut areas | improvement techniques to the | | |
| | and leaving some snag/cavity nest trees, and | extent consistent with the | | |
| | uncut islands for wildlife habitat and better | primary purpose of a state forest" | | |
| | regeneration. According to ADFG, Sharp-shinned | (AS 41.17.400(e))" (Page 1, lines | | |
| | Hawk and Goshawk prefer mature spruce or | 20-22). Pages 18-23 further | | |
| | birch and/or mature birch forest for nesting | elaborate on management intent | | |
| | | regarding wildlife habitat. | | |
| | | DOF implements Forest Land Use | | |
| | | Plans (FLUPs) for timber harvest | | |
| | | in compliance with applicable | | |
| | | statutes and regulations, and | | |
| | | cooperates with ADF&G on | | |
| | | wildlife habitat review. DOF | | |
| | | follows best practices for | | |
| | | managing the boreal forest for | | |
| | | timber and wildlife (see for | | |
| | | example Paragi et al., 2020) in | | |
| | | timber sale layout. | | |

| TIMBER MANAGEME | ENT TOPICS | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Roads and Infrastructure | Recommend adding language about infrastructure (roads etc) built as part of the timber sale program and typically funded by credits in the timber appraisal process. This is an important asset that is not a direct revenue but is an important aspect of the timber sale program. It might be worth calling this section "State Revenues and Infrastructure Assets" | Increased infrastructure is identified as a coincident benefit of timber sales in the TVSF in chapter 2, Section L, Under the heading "Economic Goals" | No change |
| Editorial change Editorial change | Hot link the cited "consultants report" on AAC Hot link this report, Tanana Valley Allowable Cut Determination. | The TVSF management plan is meant to cover management goals and guidance for a period of up to 20 years. Additional publications that might aid in management decision-making may supersede the information available at present before subsequent plan revisions. | Remove citations to specific articles, refer readers to the most recent and accurate technical reports available. |
| Editorial Change | References to specific publications such as Hanson 2013 Tanana Valley Forest Inventory report are too specific and binding for the temporal scale of a Forest Management Plan. Revise to allow MP document to accommodate the publication of new information. | Concur | Remove statement describing specific development of AAC. Insert "the AAC calculation is not a decision document. Adjustments are made as changes in land ownership or designated uses occur." Remove references by title to specific report documents, refer readers to most recent and accurate publications available. |
| Editorial change | Pg 48, line 47: Add a space between "of" and "sustained" | Thank you for your comment. DOF concurs. | Change as described |

| Forest Health Topics | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Content reorganization to match document | Recommend reorganizing the Forest Health, Climate Change, and Carbon Offset sections to include Goals, Management Guidelines, and an Activity Summary for consistency with the rest of the document. Adding subheadings used in other sections would help clarify objectives and articulate management principles. | Concur with recommendation to make editorial changes to increase consistency. | Added subheadings and reorganized content |

| CLIMATE CHANGE TOPICS | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Understanding | Recommend incorporating a proactive | Updated forest management plan | No change |
| & addressing | approach to studying and addressing climate | allows flexibility to adapt management | |
| climate | change impacts in the forest management | approach as new information becomes | |
| change | plan. | available. Encouraging multiple age | |
| | | classes, harvesting with forest health in | |
| | | mind, and ensuring regeneration are all | |
| | | methods of increasing forest resilience. | |

| Understanding | Encourage mitigation strategies that are | The updated forest management plan | No change |
|---------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| & addressing | effective, economically feasible, and tailored | allows flexibility to adapt as new | |
| climate | to Interior Alaska's forests and markets. Some | information emerges. Encouraging | |
| change | measures may have little to no cost, while | multiple age classes, harvesting with | |
| | others provide economic benefits or may add | consideration for forest health, and | |
| | expenses. A reasonable approach is to | ensuring successful regeneration of | |
| | include climate mitigation as a management | appropriate tree species are key | |
| | goal and explore locally suitable solutions. | strategies for maintaining resilient and | |
| | | sustainable forests. | |
| Understanding | Recommend using the best available science | The updated forest management plan | Concur; reference will be |
| & addressing | to assess climate change impacts on forest | cannot capture every relevant piece of | added. |
| climate | growth in TVSF. Recent modeling studies by | research and must have an effective | |
| change | Dr. David Lutz could help determine if past | knowledge cutoff time at which point | |
| | forest growth assumptions remain valid in a | new research materials will not be | |
| | changing climate. (NASA ABOVE project | referenced. Dr. Lutz's study is relevant | |
| | https://cce.nasa.gov/files/te2019_ab_presentati | and reference to this study can be | |
| | ons/TE2019_Poster_Lutz_60_83.pdf). | included in this management plan. | |
| Understanding | Recommend reorganizing the Forest Health, | Concur with recommendation to make | Added subheadings. |
| & addressing | Climate Change, and Carbon Offset sections | editorial changes to increase | |
| climate | to include Goals, Management Guidelines, | consistency. | |
| change | and an Activity Summary for consistency with | | |
| | the rest of the document. Adding subheadings | | |
| | used in other sections would help clarify | | |
| | objectives and articulate management | | |
| | principles. | | |

| NON-FORESTRY L | Non-Forestry Land Use Topics | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Subsurface Resources | Pg 44, Line 24-25: Listing permits and leases appears to create an exclusive list of allowable authorizations; recommend replace "of the permit or lease" with "or stipulations" | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Change as recommended | |
| Subsurface Resources | Pg 45, Line 4: most current Material Sites along the forest road system are directly adjacent to road and are essentially a wide spot; recommend remove reference to screening | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Remove sentence beginning Pg 45. Line 4 "Material sitesSignificant human use." | |
| Subsurface Resources | Pg 135, Line 23: this unit [shall] remain open to mineral location and leasing | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Insert shall as described. | |
| Land Use Adjudication | Pg 149, Line 7: Replace "authorize and record the route on the status plats" with "adjudicate" | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended | |
| Land Use Adjudication | Pg 149, Line 19-20: Suggest removal. MLUP's are issued/adjudicated by the Mining Section, typically only within Mining Claims. Suggest we not have the plan dictate authorization type or section responsible for adjudication. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. | |
| Grazing and Agriculture | Pg 33, line 18: Clarify that GAU grazing is allowed on TVSF | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Add generally Allowed Use description of grazing to list of grazing criteria for TVSF | |

| NON-FORESTRY L | NON-FORESTRY LAND USE TOPICS | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Grazing and Agriculture | Pg 34, Line 14: Lease/Permit stipulations control land use activities, not Grazing Management Plans | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | remove reference to Grazing management Plan, replace with "in writing by the department" | |
| Grazing and Agriculture | Pg 35, Line 5: grzing management plans are submitted to DMLW Regional offices and reviewed by Department of Agriculture. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Clarify GMP must be submitted to DMLW Northern Region Office. | |

| FISH, GAME, & HABITA | FISH, GAME, & HABITAT TOPICS | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Support of Fish and Wildlife Management | The inclusion of research and comments from Fish and Game personnel have greatly improved numerous subsections of the Forestwide Management Policies chapter. | Thank you for your comment. | No change | |
| Support of Fish and Wildlife Management | I am in strong support, and applaud, guidelines that specify fish and wildlife habitat consultations with ADFG, as noted on page 23 lines 8 and 9: "stand- scale consultations during development of the FLUP" and "landscape-scale consultationsduring development of the FYSTS." | Thank you for your comment. | No change | |

| FISH, GAME, & HABITA | FISH, GAME, & HABITAT TOPICS | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Support of Fish and | I appreciate prominent reference to | Thank you for your comment. | No change | | |
| Wildlife | the ADFG recommendations for | | | | |
| Management | "Maintaining wildlife habitat in the | | | | |
| | boreal forest of Alaska—a guide for | | | | |
| | land owners, developers, and | | | | |
| | timber harvesters" (Paragi, et al. | | | | |
| | 2020 | | | | |
| | https://www.adfg.alaska.gov/static | | | | |
| | /lands/habitatrestoration/pdfs/man | | | | |
| | aging_boreal_forest_timber_wildlife | | | | |
| | _tanana_valley_wtb.pdf). | | | | |
| Support of Fish and | appreciate and support the | Thank you for your comment. | No change | | |
| Wildlife | prominent recognition of riparian | | | | |
| management | and special management zones to | | | | |
| | protect aquatic habitats (pg. 18, | | | | |
| | lines 19-34). | | | | |
| Recommend | I recommend DOF consider adding | The TVSF MP is meant to provide | No change | | |
| Added | this as an overall guideline | managing foresters flexibility in | | | |
| Management | applicable to all Units because it | the face of evolving | | | |
| Guideline | will make those units more wildlife- | environmental, economic, and | | | |
| | friendly. "DOF will plan the | social factors for a management | | | |
| | sinuosity of cut shapes to increase | period of up to 20 years. | | | |
| | edge effect to benefit wildlife as | Descriptions of specific design | | | |
| | well as reduce impacts to | characteristics for individual | | | |
| | viewsheds, to the extent feasible." | timber sales is not appropriate for | | | |

| FISH, GAME, & HABI | FISH, GAME, & HABITAT TOPICS | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Recommend | recommend the 2024 Plan carry | the scope of this document. DOF | | |
| Added | forward a 2001 guideline that helps | cannot guarantee that the goals | | |
| Management | formalize practices such as | described in these comments will | | |
| Guideline | retaining multiple "un-cut habitat | apply to every timber sale | | |
| | islands" to mimic unburned | designed in the management | | |
| | patches and enhance natural | period of this revision. | | |
| | reseeding and retention of snags or | | | |
| | cavity trees (as indicated in the | TVSF MP policy emphasizes the | | |
| | Reforestation Standards, 2016 final | importance of agency and public | | |
| | consensus points, Technical | participation in the review of | | |
| | Committee report pg. 36: | FYSTS and FLUP documents to | | |
| | https://forestry.alaska.gov/Assets/p | advocate for specific | | |
| | dfs/forestpractices/IG%20Chart%2 | considerations in scoping spatial | | |
| | 0of%20%20recommendations%20- | options for harvest (FYSTS) or | | |
| | %20May%2026%202016%20am.pd | developing harvest and | | |
| | f). | reforestation methods (FLUP). | | |
| Consistency | Page 13, line 29. Consider also | Thank you for your comment. | Edit as recommended | |
| | adding Common Loons because | Noted. | | |
| | they are equally sensitive to | | | |
| | disturbance as Trumpeter Swan. | | | |
| | (see: | | | |
| | https://www.sciencebase.gov/catal | | | |
| | og/item/5771b896e4b07657d1a6c | | | |
| | 8bc) | | | |

| FISH, GAME, & HABIT | Fish, Game, & Habitat Topics | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Consistency | Page 13, line 30. I suggest the temporal range be changed: June- September. Rearing of swan broods in July and August is also a sensitive time and disturbance can result in separation of young from the adults with ensuing increase in mortality. | Thank you for your comment. Noted | Edit as recommended | |
| Consistency | Page 15, line 17. While no longer officially endangered, there is a new issue. Peregrines have undergone a significant decline recently, probably due to avian influenza (per recent surveys along the upper Yukon River in Alaska (Skip Ambrose, unpublished data, and NPS Yukon Charley National Preserve, unpublished data). They should continue to get special attention. | Thank you for your comment, DOF notes this concern. | No change | |

| FISH, GAME, & HABITA | FISH, GAME, & HABITAT TOPICS | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Invasive Species | Page 22, line 17. I strongly suggest | Thank you for your comment. The | No change. | |
| | the new Plan call out the most | TVSF management plan is written | | |
| | troublesome and aggressive | to allow managing foresters the | | |
| | invasive species in the TVSF area: | flexibility to adapt forestry | | |
| | The terrestrial White-sweet clover | practices to evolving | | |
| | (Melilotus alba) and Bird vetch | environmental, economic, or | | |
| | (Viccia cracca), and aquatic: | social conditions for a | | |
| | (Elodea canadensis). All three | management period of up to 20 | | |
| | species are aggressive invaders and | years. The guidance provided in | | |
| | are capable of negatively altering | this revision directs readers to | | |
| | fish and wildlife habitat. DOF | resources describing current | | |
| | should add as a goal within the next | invasive species concerns and | | |
| | five years to develop an invasive | recommended mitigation | | |
| | species early detection rapid | practices that will be updated | | |
| | response plan for TVSF timber | consistently throughout the | | |
| | harvest operations. | management period of this plan. | | |

| FISH, GAME, & HABI | Fish, Game, & Habitat Topics | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Fish Habitat | Issue SummaryManagement Unit 14, Tok Riverpage 148,2. Fish and Wildlife Habitat:"The Tok River provides spawningand rearing habitat for cohosalmon,"please add, the coho salmon in theTok River was introduced as anexperiment by Students of the TokHigh School. The coho salmon arenot native to the Tok River. There isno available research to show thatthe salmon have returned sincethey were introduced by Tok Schoolstudents. | Thank you for your comment. Alaska Department of Fish and Game oversees the development of the State of Alaska Anadromous Waters Catalogue. Water bodies listed in this catalogue are protected under AS 16.05.871. The Tok river is also protected by the FRPA riparian standards for type III-B water bodies in AS 41.17.118(3)(B) | Revise Unit 14 summary to describe Tok River as listed in ADFG Anadromous Waters Catalogue. | | |
| Large Game Habitat | "improved moose habitat that resulted from the 1990 Tok River Fire," The 1990 Tok River fire did not come close to Management Unit 14 Tok River. This needs to be cleaned up. | Thank you for your comment. Confirmed. Fire perimeters from nearby burn in 1990 do not overlap with Unit 14. | Specify 1990 fire occurred nearby, but not within Unit 14. | | |
| Editorial Change | Pg 151, Line 8-9: suggest using "Examples of" rather than "Among the" | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Revise as recommended. | | |

| Access Topics | Access Topics | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Forest Roads | Support of increased road | Thank you for your comment. DOF | No change. | |
| | development to meet market | notes this support. | | |
| | demand. | | | |
| Forest Roads | Recommend adding language in | Thank you for your comment. | No change | |
| | the Revision that addresses ways | Current management guidelines in | | |
| | to reduce the costs of roads for | the TVSF MP, Chapter 2, Section R | | |
| | operators and maintain roads | Transportation. Minimizing | | |
| | built by operators after sales are | transportation costs is listed as a | | |
| | complete. | goal. Detail describing the strategies | | |
| | | for individual sales or sale areas is | | |
| | | discussed in decision documents | | |
| | | such as BIFs and FLUPS. | | |
| Forest Roads | encourages DoF to consider how | Thank you for your comment. DOF | No change | |
| | coordination with other | has a practice in place for applying | | |
| | landowner agencies may be | for easements along all-season | | |
| | practical for road easements and | primary routes, in coordination with | | |
| | construction by operators. | DMLW. The majority of road | | |
| | | construction occurs through timber | | |
| | | operators or contracting. | | |
| Forest Roads | Page 66, Line 18-20 - I suggest | Thank you for your comment. DOF | No change | |
| | adding a sentence here to | acknowledges the local | | |
| | recognize that in the winter, many | contributions to road and trail | | |
| | of the roads are groomed and | maintenance in and dear State | | |
| | maintained on a voluntary basis | Forest lands. This document is | | |
| | by people living near and | meant to define DOF management | | |
| | recreating on state forest land. | policy for TVSF. Plan language must | | |
| | | not imply the requirement or | | |
| | | expectation of voluntary | | |
| | | management of activities by | | |
| | | members of the public. | | |

| Access Topics | Access Topics | | | |
|---------------|--|--|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Forest Roads | On Page 115, Line 9 there maybe should be some mention of Banner Creek winter access route into unit 7B. There are existing OTC winter sales in this area. | Thank you for your comment. Noted. | Winter access to unit 7B from the Richardson Hwy via RS2477 trail will be identified. | |
| Forest Roads | Pg 120, Lines 12-13: Once Pogo closes, the existing road will be stranded unless DNR secures access through the private property. Currently authorize via time-limited exclusive use easement to Pogo. Forestry activities could be excluded by landowner. If access is secured across private property, then the road up to Giles Creek becomes public once Pogo closes. | At the time of publication, Pogo Mine Road is the most likely access for forest management activities in unit 8. If or when timber harvest is pursued in that area, DOF will perform the appropriate research to confirm this option for access and plan accordingly. | Add language specifying time-limited exclusive use easement | |
| Forest Roads | Pg 121, Lines 16-20: Use of this road is time limited unless long term access is secured. | | | |
| Forest Roads | Pg 118, Lines 1-4 Recommend replace "will" with "Should." There may be reasons other than funding that might require deviation from this statement. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Change as described | |
| Public Access | "Pipeline Access Roads and Crossings" Vehicle weight should be curb weight, not Gross vehicle weight | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revised to curb weight | |

| Access Topics | Access Topics | | | |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Public Access | Pg 71, lines 14-15: Sentence is grammatically confusing | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Change to "the Tanana Valley State Forest is managed to provide public access through road, RS2477 trails, winter access, and navigable waters." | |
| Public Access | Pg 71, Line 16 remove "Complete" | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Change as described. | |
| Public Access | Inconsistent RST numbers listed with trail names. | Presence of trails on SF land may change over time, for example with identification of new RS 2477 trails. Guidelines should be re-written to apply to current and future conditions. | Remove specific list of trail names, clarify management guidance for accommodating trails on the management land base. | |
| Public Access | Pg 85, Lines 27-28 DOT may be "backing away" from extension of Totchaket Rd toward Kantishna River | Thank you for your comment. Noted. | Re-write to emphasize value and stipulations of roads in Unit 2, remove reference to specific project. Re-write to emphasize value and stipulations of roads in Unit 2, remove reference to specific project. | |
| Public Access | Pg 87, Line 14: replace reference to 1995 historic trails atlas with AS 19.30.400 | Thank you for your comment. Noted | Revise as recommended | |
| Public Access | Pg 95, Lines 30-34: Easement issued in July. ADL 419281. | Thank you for your comment. Noted. | Update language to reflect presence of ADL 419281 in Unit 4 | |

| Access Topics | Access Topics | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Public Access | Pg 97, Lines 12-17: FNSB trails | Thank you for your comment. | Revise as recommended | | |
| | plans do not control actions on | Concur. | | | |
| | State land. Authorizations for | | | | |
| | trails, whether authorized by | | | | |
| | DMLW or DOF, are subject to a | | | | |
| | public process. Unless the | | | | |
| | Lincoln Creek Loop Trail has an | | | | |
| | easement on it, this plan should | | | | |
| | not speak to whether a trail will | | | | |
| | be authorized or constructed. At | | | | |
| | best, it should say "should be | | | | |
| | considered". | | | | |
| Public Access | Pg 109, Lines 7-9: re-write to If | Thank you for your comment. | Revise as recommended | | |
| | efforts to maintain the current | Concur. | | | |
| | alignment of the Chena Hot | | | | |
| | Springs Winter Trail (RST 278) are | | | | |
| | unsuccessful, consideration | | | | |
| | should be given to relocation to | | | | |
| | the southern portion of this unit | | | | |
| Public Access | Pg 109, Line 8-9: current | | Revise language to remove implication | | |
| | statement re: relocation of trail is | | of DOF authority to vacate trail | | |
| | inaccurate. DNR would decide | | easements beyond criteria listed in 11 | | |
| | whether to vacate based on | | AAC 51.065. | | |
| | 51.065 criteria, which requires | | | | |
| | equal or better/reasonably | | | | |
| | comparable. | | | | |

| Access Topics | Access Topics | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Public Access | Pg 115, Lines 18-19:11 miles of the existing Redmond Creek Trail [may] be upgraded This requires an interest finding be either the Region (easement) if long term or through FLUP if short term. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Change as described | |
| Public Access | Unit 10, 11, Section 8, Transportation and access: believe there is legal access from the west via RST's and/or 17(b)'s. RST's. RST's are not limited by 17(b) limitations because they precede the 17(b)'s. Access is not contested | Thank you for your comment, Concur. | Remove "contested" language from access description. | |
| Public Access | Unit 14, Section 8, Transportation and Access: Include language that indicates that a "17b easement was later applied atop a portion of the RST" | Thank you for your comment. Noted | Change as described. | |
| FRPA | Management Guidelines and Activities, Tok River Page 149, 1. Streamside Management: Per the Alaska Forest Resources & Practices Act: AS 41.17 the Tok River is Type III-B Water Body. Along a Type III-B harvesting may occur up to 50' of high water mark. | Presence of trails on SF land may change over time, for example with identification of new RS 2477 trails. Guidelines should be adjusted to apply to current and future conditions. | Remove specific lists of trail names, clarify management guidance for accommodating trails on the management land base. | |

| SCIENTIFIC RES | Scientific Resources Topics | | | |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Research | Oblique (Tschute) Lake should not be | The TVSF management plan is meant | Remove description of fish | |
| Natural Areas | stocked by ADFG. Is it being maintained in | to guide managing foresters in the | stocking from RNA summary. | |
| | its "natural state" if stocked per the current | management of forest resources and | | |
| | plan update language? From the 2001 TVSF | other compatible uses within the | | |
| | update- "It will also specifically address the | state forest. A decision to stock a | | |
| | Oblique Lake Research Natural Area in | body of water or not is made by | | |
| | terms of ADF&G's stocking Oblique | Alaska Department of Fish & Game. | | |
| | (Tschute) Lake with rainbow trout. DNR will | Concerns regarding the management | | |
| | work with the TVSF Citizens' Advisory | of fish and game should be directed | | |
| | Committee, ADF&G, local communities, | to ADF&G. | | |
| | and other interests when planning for Unit | | | |
| | 2." It appears this was done and this lake is | | | |
| | no longer stocked, correct? There was | | | |
| | confusion with the names of the lakes with | | | |
| | Dune Lake which is still in the most recent | | | |
| | ADF&G stocking plan. They are still stocking | | | |
| | Dune Lake, which I assume in not the lake in | | | |
| | the RNA. It would be good to provide some | | | |
| | wording in the updated plan discussing how | | | |
| | and if this situation was resolved. | | | |
| Future | recommend as relatively high priority the | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Regeneration on sites | |
| Research | addition or amendment of a research need | | harvested with feller-bunchers | |
| | at the end of Chapter 4 to assess short-term | | will be added to Chapter 4, | |
| | regeneration on sites harvested with feller- | | Research Needs | |
| | bunchers. | | | |

| S CIENTIFIC RES | Scientific Resources Topics | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Chapter 3, Unit 14 Summary | 5. Scientific Research: "None identified in this Unit." I think there is a lot of sample and growth & yield plots in this Unit. During Governor Cowper Jobs Bill Program a forest thinning project was done and needs to be identified in this Management Plan. | State and Federal forest inventory plots have been measured within TVSF Unit 14. These projects are designed to monitor changes over time, including potential personal use or forest management activities. The research uses in this unit do not limit potential forest management | Revise Unit 14 "Scientific Research" to acknowledge inventory activity in the area, but specify research activity in Unit 14 does not limit forest management activities. | |
| Editorial | Pg 38, Line 3: Specify DNR-authorized research | activities. Concur | Edit to read "DNR-authorized" | |
| Experimental Forests | The Forest Growth Study Area that is located on Red Fox Drive in Tok, Alaska is not mentioned anywhere in the plan. It is owned by the State of Alaska DNR Division of Forestry. Furthermore, I recommend that the experimental forest located on Red Fox Drive in Tok be renamed for the UAF Professor that was instrumental in the conception and life of the experimental forest; Dr. Edmond Packee Sr. | The experimental forest in Tok is located adjacent to, but outside the boundary of the TVSF. Language in this plan applies only to lands within the Tanana valley State Forest. Records of the location and ownership conveyance available in LAS record <u>OSL 1445</u> . | No change. | |
| Experimental Forests | In Chapter 2, Scientific Resources: Reference (hot link) the 2005 legislation, same on line 13 when discussing 2055 transfer to the University. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Link statute citations throughout plan. | |

| PRIVATE LAND AND | PRIVATE LAND AND AESTHETICS TOPICS | | | |
|------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Private land | Pg 36, Line 15: remove "state- initiated" | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as described. | |
| Private Land | Pg 36: Add Guideline: Alaska Native Allotments | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Parcels within TVSF identified as Alaska Native Allotments, but not yet adjudicated by DMLW NRO will be managed as if they are private lands. As adjudication is completed, the ownership status of these lands will be updated to indicate conveyance or retention of ownership, and management activities will reflect the updated ownership status. | |
| Scenic Buffers | In favor of the logging buffer given around private parcels. | Thank you for your comment | No change | |
| Scenic Buffers | See: Chapter 3 page 104 line 11,12,13 Proposed addition: "Scenic Quality: Timber, road, mining, and other development activities in this unit will be sited and designed to enhance views or minimize adverse impacts on scenic views from the Parks Highway [and Tanana River] to the extent feasible and prudent." | Thank you for your comment. DOF acknowledges the Tanana River's importance as a corridor for travel, subsistence, recreation, and tourism uses. | Revise as recommended | |

| PRIVATE LAND AND AESTHETICS TOPICS | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Scenic Buffers | Chapter 3 page 106 table 3.5 under Summary of Management Intent Proposed addition: "Scenery on Parks Hwy (and Tanana River), timber production, wildlife habitat, recreation near Tanana River. | Thank you for your comment. DOF acknowledges the Tanana River's importance as a corridor for travel, subsistence, recreation, and tourism uses. | Revise as recommended | |
| Scenic Buffers | See: Chapter 3 page 102 line 5,6 Proposed addition: "minimizing impacts to scenic values along the Parks Hwy (and Tanana River) where feasible and prudent." Justification: The Tanana River is utilized by the public for recreation and subsistence activities and its scenic value should be retained. This revision may also encourage larger buffers along the river to preserve nesting habitat for Bald Eagles and other non-game species protected under state and federal law. | Thank you for your comment. DOF acknowledges the Tanana River's importance as a corridor for travel, subsistence, recreation, and tourism uses. | Revise as recommended | |

| PRIVATE LAND AND AESTHETICS TOPICS | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Private Land | See: Chapter 3 page 102 line 23 Proposed change: "Private Land and Leaseholds: None identified in this unit." (This statement is inaccurate) Change to: There are numerous private parcels in unit 5a. Justification: There are many native allotments and at least one non-allotment private parcel within unit 5a. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Identify private inholdings in unit summary for Unit 5A and all TVSF units. | |
| Private Land | See: Chapter 2 page 40 line 12 Proposed change: "The DOF will consider using selective harvest or other partial cutting techniques within 200 feet (change to 500 feet) of private land and consider potential impacts of roads on adjacent private land when planning forest road locations." Justification: 200 feet is not enough distance to reduce the impact on private land owners and shield them from the negative impacts of logging activity including noise and decreased scenic and property value. | DOF has determined 200 ft to be an appropriate considered buffer size to accommodate private land ownership on a forest-wide policy scale. Not every instance of timber harvest adjacent to privately owned lands will require the level of accommodation recommended. The public review of Best Interest Findings and Forest Land Use Plans provide opportunities for recommendations regarding the layout or implementation of specific timber sales or sale areas. | No change | |

| CARBON MANAGEN | Carbon Management Topics | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Project verification | The plan should explain how Forestry will ensure any offset projects have a real climate benefit, are ecologically responsible, and are not unduly restrictive. | Carbon registries require carbon offset projects to demonstrate that emissions reductions or removals are real, measurable, and permanent. 11 AAC 78.060(b) requires the Department "manage a carbon offset project on state land in a manner consistent with a registry's standards, protocols, and methodologies." Additionality, leakage, and permanence standards (including reversals) are set by carbon registries and these criteria for each project are evaluated by both a carbon registry and an independent validation and verification body. Setting these standards in regulation could run counter to registry standards and impact the Department's ability to register projects. | Specify that carbon offset projects within TVSF will be developed according to the criteria listed in AS 38.95.400 and 11 AAC 78.060 | | |
| Editorial Change | Page 30 Carbon Offset Projects, suggest hot linking all statute and regulation cites. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Change as described | | |
| Additional Goal or Guideline | suggest language be added to the section on carbon offsets to state goals, articulate requirements and administrative process, and explain interaction with other management objectives | Thank you for your comment. Concur, management Goals and Guidelines should be developed and added to the Carbon Offset Projects section of the TVSF Management Plan | Developed statements of Management goals and guidelines and inserted them into chapter 2, Section F. | | |

| CARBON MANAGE | Carbon Management Topics | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Additional Goal or Guideline | Recommend added goal: <u>Economic Development</u> While some forest management practices can help mitigate climate impacts without significant added cost, a carbon offset program offers the opportunity to use outside financial resources to support actions in Alaska that will help address climate change but might not be currently economic. Offset projects have the potential to bring revenue to the state while at the same time supporting | DOF acknowledges and will address the need for management goals and guidelines in the TVSF management plan regarding carbon offset projects on State Forest land. DOF will develop management goals and guidelines to the extent possible with current information. | Developed statements of Management goals and guidelines and inserted them into chapter 2, Section F. | | |
| Additional Goal or Guideline | local jobs Recommend added Goal: <u>Climate mitigation</u> The primary goal of carbon offset projects is to support the natural climate mitigation potential of forests as a tool for minimizing the impact of climate change. Together with other management actions taken by Forestry, offset projects have the potential to use Alaska's vast natural resources to help address this global challenge | 11 AAC 78.060 requires DNR to manage a carbon offset project on state land in a manner consistent with a registry's standards, protocols, and methodologies, which include consideration of other land uses such as timber harvest. | Developed statements of Management goals and guidelines and inserted them into chapter 2, Section F. | | |

| CARBON MANAGEN | 1ent Topics | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Additional Goal or Guideline | Recommend added Guideline: <u>Managing for Multiple Use</u> While the development of carbon offset projects follows statutes and regulations specific to the carbon offset program, AS 41.17.230(g) requires offset projects to be consistent with forest management plans, including this one. The primary purpose of state forests is "timber management that provides for the production, utilization, and replenishment of timber resources while allowing other beneficial uses of public land and resources." Any offset project must be consistent with the underlying goal of providing a sustainable timber resource and meeting | Kesponse | Recommended Change Developed statements of Management goals and guidelines and inserted them into chapter 2, Section F. |
| Additional Goal or Guideline | ecosystem health, wildlife, and other management goals. Recommended management Guideline: <u>Administration</u> Because carbon offset projects will have a long-term impact on management of those parcels, projects will undergo a thorough review process both within the Department of Natural Resources and by the public. While offset projects will be permitted through a process specific to that program, the Division of Forestry will also include notice of any potential offset project in its Five Year Schedule of Timber Sales or by other means to ensure the opportunity for forest users and the public to comment on the proposed project. | Projects will be subject to public review and comment during DNR's best interest finding process and during the carbon registry's registration process. DOF may include Carbon Offset projects in FYSTS publications, but there is no requirement in statute or regulation to do so | Developed statements of Management goals and guidelines and inserted them into chapter 2, Section F. |
| Public Process | Section F in Chapter 2 of the plan needs additional detail on the scope, rationale, and public review process for carbon sequestration projects. I recommend the DF&FP finalize the updated plan but consider amending the carbon project portion of the plan within the next 2-3 years as more details about potential carbon projects become transparent. | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Goals and guidelines for carbon offset projects in TVSF will be developed to the extent possible with current information. |

| CARBON MANAGEN | CARBON MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|----------------|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Project | recommend the Plan provide a hypothetical scenario of | The purpose of the Carbon | No change | | |
| Implementation | how a carbon offset project may be evaluated and | management section in the TVSF MP | | | |
| | implemented on TVSF. The Plan should describe how DOF | is to acknowledge the enabling | | | |
| | would evaluate a carbon project and how it could address | legislature for the SOA Carbon Offset | | | |
| | economic impacts to the existing and anticipated timber | Program and acknowledge carbon | | | |
| | harvesting opportunities in TVSF. The DOF could make | offset projects as a potential use | | | |
| | projections of the approximate value of timber to be offered | within TVSF. Specific descriptions of | | | |
| | in the Five-Year Schedule of Timber Sales, based on recent | the design and evaluation of a project | | | |
| | history and anticipated trends. | will be addressed through public | | | |
| | | process, including review of a | | | |
| | | preliminary Best Interest Finding (BIF) | | | |
| | | before a project is approved on State | | | |
| | | Forest lands | | | |
| Valuing | A carbon project evaluation should also consider the | As a part of the planning process for | Reference AS 38.95.410(a) | | |
| Potential | economic multiplier effect to the local economy from | any carbon offset project, DNR is | and 11 AAC 78.030 in | | |
| Projects | timber-related jobs (e.g. payroll, transport, equipment, | required by statute to consider | management guidelines | | |
| | milling, use in local construction, etc.). | "reasonably foreseeable effects that | describing DOF's role in | | |
| | | a project may have on the state or | the planning process for | | |
| | | local economy" (AS | future carbon offset | | |
| | | 38.95.410(a)(5)) as well as "the | projects. | | |
| | | effect of the project on the state's | | | |
| | | timber industry" (AS 38.95.410(a)(6)) | | | |
| Valuing | when estimating future timber productivity in TVSF for a | Thank you for your comment. DOF | No change | | |
| Potential | carbon project I strongly urge DOF to consider recent forest | will include the cited resource in | | | |
| Projects | growth and climate change modeling studies (e.g. Lutz, | consideration of forest productivity | | | |
| | Dartmouth/NASA | for future projects. | | | |
| | https://cce.nasa.gov/files/te2019_ab_presentations/TE201 | | | | |
| | 9_Poster_Lutz_60_83.pdf). | | | | |

| CARBON MANAG | EMENT TOPICS | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Interactions with Timber | Tanana Valley State Forest Citizen Advisory Committee made a motion to have the Carbon Credit include certain | The TVSF Management Plan is intended to document the DOF's | No change. |
| Harvest | language to ensure future Timber Sales would not be impacted in the Tanana Valley State Forest. I would like to recommend that the motion that was passed and the letter | management policy for resources within TVSF. | |
| | that was written by the TVSFCAC be included in the 2024 Tanana Valley State Forest Management Plan. | According to its By-Laws, The purpose statement of the TVSF CAC includes "2. to inquire into matters of community interest relating to the Forest and to bring these matters to the attention of the public"; and | |
| | | 3. "To make recommendations to the Director and forest managers of the DOF concerning, among other things b. TVSF management Plans amendments and updates; c. TVSF policies and management guidelines" | |
| | | DOF acknowledges the recommendations that were shared by the TVSF CAC. The TVSF CAC may, per committee By-Laws, bring these matters to the attention of the public, but the recommendations of the CAC do not necessarily represent the management policy of the Division, | |
| | | so will not be included in the management plan. | |

| CARBON MANAGE | CARBON MANAGEMENT TOPICS | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Interactions | Recommend addition of language about mitigating possible | 11 AAC 70.030 describes the | No change | | |
| with Timber | effects on the current logging industry | evaluation criteria for a potential | | | |
| Harvest | | carbon offset project on state-owned | | | |
| | | lands, including "whether the | | | |
| | | potential carbon offset project would | | | |
| | | be incompatible with existing use of | | | |
| | | the land by a state agency" (11 AAC | | | |
| | | 78.030 (c) (2)) and "whether the | | | |
| | | potential carbon offset project would | | | |
| | | impede public access, resource | | | |
| | | development, or other uses under <u>AS</u> | | | |
| | | <u>38.95.410</u> " (11 AAC 78.030(c)(7)) | | | |

| RECREATION TOPICS | | | |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Trails Connecting | Comments in support of trail spurs | Thank you for your comment. DOF | No change |
| Nenana and | connecting Forestry Roads in Unit | notes the public support of this | |
| Fairbanks | 5 | concept. | |

| RECREATION TOPICS | RECREATION TOPICS | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Trails Connecting Nenana and Fairbanks | Recommend requiring planners to contact people living and working in the vicinity of Unit 5 in development phases of future recreational facilities | The TVSF management plan is not meant to authorize any specific project or development. Development of any potential large scale recreation facility on TVSF will occur through a separate adjudication process, which will include public opportunities for review and comment. Recommendations for specific project attributes can be made during the scoping and public review phases of the project application. | No change | |
| Trails Connecting Nenana and Fairbanks | Comments in support of letter submitted by Alaska Trails | Thank you for your comment. DOF notes the public support of this letter. | No change | |
| Trails Connecting Nenana and Fairbanks | Encourage DNR to promote construction of trails to connect Forestry roads between Fairbanks and Nenana. | DOF's management goals for recreation on TVSF include creating opportunities for access to State owned lands for recreational use | No Change | |
| Development and/or maintenance of trails | Recommend DOF allocate funding to develop or maintain a recreational trail system within TVSF. | through forest management activities. DOF is not funded or staffed to directly endorse or develop recreational facilities. When projects are proposed via DNR adjudication within TVSF, DOF will review projects and approve, deny, or provide stipulations for approval. | | |

| RECREATION TOPICS | RECREATION TOPICS | | | |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Consolidated surface access use | Recommend deferring winter plowing of Forestry roads until spring to accommodate recreational use | The primary purpose of TVSF is timber management providing for the production, utilization, and replenishment of timber resources while allowing other beneficial uses of the public land and resources. Recreation is an allowed through multiple use management, but DOF does not prioritize recreational use above the primary purpose of the state forest. | No change | |
| Recreational Use in Subunit 5B (Bonanza Creek Experimental Forest) | Recommend the following language in Chapter 3, Unit 5, <i>Management Guidelines and</i> <i>activities:</i> "While the primary use of these roads will continue to be for timber management, forestry's consideration of opening timber sales will take safety concerns of all users into account, and upgrades will give priority to safety improvements that benefit both recreationalists and logging traffic. Identification and mitigation of safety risks inherent in overlapping usage will be made with feedback from all user groups, within the stated priority framework." | Consultation of user groups as described in this recommended change is inherent in DOF's planning and decision process. Opportunity for the public to provide feedback on access routes for specific timber sales is provided through the public review of draft Forest Land Use Plans (FLUPs). Public engagement in the review of decision documents (Best Interest Findings and Forest Land Use Plans) is critical for the identification and mitigation of risks described in the recommended text. | Change as described | |

| RECREATION TOPICS | RECREATION TOPICS | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Timber harvest | Opposition to harvest activity in | Where feasible and prudent, the DOF | Review unit summaries in Chapter 3 | |
| near recreational | areas of TVSF adjacent to public | makes efforts not to block trails on | and clarify management intent of | |
| trails | recreation trails. | account of harvest activity. For | each unit to reflect the State Forest's | |
| | | example, where a trail enters and exits | primary purpose and relationship of | |
| | | a sale polygon. Buffers may be | other, incidental uses. | |
| | | maintained around trail corridors for | | |
| | | trails of regional or statewide | | |
| | | significance where required. Forestry | | |
| | | roads are developed and maintained | | |
| | | in support of the primary purpose for | | |
| | | the state forest, which is timber | | |
| | | management. | | |
| Recreation in | Recommend adding language to | Most recreation activity in TVSF occurs | No change | |
| ecologically | specifically address recreation use | as generally allowed use. Limitations | | |
| sensitive areas | in landscape areas sensitive to | for generally allowed uses on state | | |
| | damage. | land are described in 11 AAC 96.020. | | |
| | | Current management guidance allows | | |
| | | trail use restrictions to limit types of | | |
| | | trail traffic based on trail conditions or | | |
| | | by season. | | |
| Management of | Recommend preservation and | The TVSF management plan includes | No change | |
| Historic Trails | protection of historic trails within | goals and guidelines for forest | | |
| | TVSF | management in areas adjacent to | | |
| | | existing trails in the state forest, | | |
| | | including RS 2477 trails and trails of | | |
| | | regional and statewide significance. | | |

| RECREATION TOPICS | Recreation Topics | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Public use cabins | Support of the construction of one or more recreational cabin in Subunit 5A. | Thank you for your comment. DOF notes the public support of public recreation cabins in subunit 5A. DOF is not funded to facilitate construction of public use cabins, nor is such a project within the primary purpose of the TVSF. | No Change | |
| Public use cabins | Recommend removal of public use cabins from land use summary matrices in Chapter 3. Likely originally included in reference to repealed statute 38.05.079 | Concur | Reference to public use cabins in Chapter 3 unit summaries will be removed. | |
| Public use Cabins | Pg 86, "recreational facilities:" Recommend removal of reference | Concur. DOF is not funded to facilitate | Reference to SOA-funded construction of recreational | |
| Cabins | to construction of public use cabins by SOA agencies | construction of public use cabins, nor is such a project within the primary purpose of the TVSF. | facilities will be removed | |
| Recreation Access | Support of improved public access to trails within TVSF | Thank you for your comment. Chapter 2, Section Q, Public Access describes access to publicly owned land and resources, including recreational use as a management goal within TVSF. | No change | |
| Public Access | Recommend DOF publish geo- referenced pdf maps for TVSF unit boundaries to assist forest users. | Concur. DOF will consider creation and distribution of such a product. However, geo-referenced maps will be a separate project from the revision of this management plan. | No change | |
| Public Access | DOF should provide for and clearly mark TVSF legal access and provide public parking for the TVSF users. | Concur. The DOF notes public interest in increased signage indicating land ownership and improved parking opportunities. | No change | |

| RECREATION TOPICS | Recreation Topics | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Future recreation developments | Pg 64, Lines 4-6: Recommend revision to include that if recreation funding increases, construction of recreational facilities may become more feasible. | Construction and/or maintenance of recreational facilities does not fall within the responsibilities of the DOF (AS 41.17.030). Other entities may propose development of recreation facilities within TVSF through a DNR land adjudication application. Due to the responsibilities of the DOF and the primary purpose of TVSF (AS 41.17.200), funding development of recreational facilities is outside the purview of the Division of Forestry & Fire Protection. | Clarify language to emphasize DOF goals to create opportunity and access for recreational use through active forest management. | |
| Future recreation Developments | Outdoor recreation should be considered an economic factor when valuing the use of TVSF | Chapter 2 Sections N. Tourism and O. Recreation describe economic goals for recreation and Tourism use in the TVSF. | No Change | |
| Trails | Support of expansion of winter and summer trail systems within TVSF. | Thank you for your comment. Establishing or maintaining trails for recreational use is outside the management purview of DOF. The Division notes public interest in recreational trails within the TVSF and will consider this comment in the authorization process for future proposed projects of this nature. | No change. | |

| RECREATION TOPIC | Recreation Topics | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Trails | Recommend addition of potential access to recreational trails from Cripple Creek neighborhood to Table 4.2 | Reference to this project can be added to table 4.2 to reflect public interest. | Add additional trailhead to Table 4.2; Clarify table description to emphasize that Table 4.2 identifies projects in which the public has expressed interest, and that DOF is not funded or staffed to implement or develop these recommendations beyond review and adjudication of applications for such projects. | | |
| Trails | Advocate for recreational trail development in TVSF Units 8, 9, 10 | DOF's management goals for recreation on TVSF include creating opportunities for access to State owned lands for recreational use through forest management activities. DOF is not funded or staffed to directly endorse or develop recreational facilities. When projects are proposed via DNR adjudication processes, DOF will review projects and approve, deny, or provide stipulations for approval. | No change | | |
| Editorial change | Pg 61, Line 4: recommend changing "residents" to "residents and visitors" to capture non- resident tourism uses | Thank you for your comment, noted. | Change "residents" to "users" | | |
| Editorial change | Pg 139, Line 30: DPOR indicates Eagle Trail State Recreation Site is a 35-site campground, not 60. | Confirmed | Site number edited to 35 | | |

| RECREATION TOPICS | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Community | Recommend adding language to | Thank you for your comment. As DOF | Clarify management guidelines in | |
| Collaboration | emphasize the value of private- public partnerships for funding recreation and trail development. | is organized to manage forest resources to allow other uses in the State Forest, development of recreational facilities is almost certain to be limited to external organizations and associated funding. | Chapter 2, Section O. Recreation to emphasize the potential role of outside organizations in the planning and proposal of recreation facilities within TVSF. | |
| Boat use | Ch. 3, Unit 14, Recreation and Tourism Summary: Recommend remove statement "The Tok River is used for boating and fishing, mainly by paddlers, since it is to shallow for power boats." | Thank you for your comment, concur. | Revise Recreation & Tourism summary in Unit 14 to reflect motorized and non-motorized boat use on the Tok River. | |
| Alaska Long Trail | Recommend addition of Alaska Long Trail to Table 4.2 | Language in the TVSF MP is focused specifically on the management of land within the state forest boundary. The Alaska Long Trail extends beyond the management purview of this plan. DOF acknowledges the intended trail developments discussed in the Alaska Trails comment letter that intersect the TVSF land base. | Trail segments connecting logging roads in Unit 5 will be added to Table 4.2. Clarify table description to emphasize that Table 4.2 identifies projects in which the public has expressed interest, and that DOF is not funded or staffed to implement or develop these recommendations beyond review and adjudication of applications for such projects. | |

| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Alaska Long Trail | Support of Alaska Long Trail | Thank you for your comment. | No change |
| C | project | Establishing or maintaining trails for | |
| | | recreational use is outside the | |
| | | management purview of DOF. The | |
| | | Division notes public interest in the | |
| | | Alaska Long Trail project and will | |
| | | consider this comment in the | |
| | | authorization process for future | |
| | | proposed projects of this nature. | |
| Alaska Long Trail | Recommend addition of proposed | Thank you for your comment. The | No change |
| C | route of Alaska Long Trail to | information in Chapter 2 is meant to | C C |
| | Chapter 2, Section O. Recreation. | provide general guidance to foresters | |
| | | and aid in decision-making when | |
| | | faced with specific project proposals. | |
| | | Discussion of a specific project | |
| | | proposal is not an appropriate | |
| | | addition to Chapter 2. | |
| Alaska Long Trail | Recommend addition of proposed | DOF acknowledges Alaska Trails' | Trail segments connecting logging |
| | Alaska Long Trail segment in | intentions to propose trail segments | roads in Unit 5 will be added to Table |
| | Chapter 3, Unit 5 | within Unit 5. Because the discussed | 4.2, a summary of development |
| | | trail segments are not an existing | projects in which the public and |
| | | resource, they will not be identified in | outside entities have expressed |
| | | the land use summary for Unit 5 in this | interest in pursuing. |
| | | revision. | |
| Alaska Long Trail | Opposition to Alaska Long Trail; | Thank you for your comment. The | No change |
| | recommend less infrastructure. | Division notes your opposition to the | |
| | | Alaska Long Trail project and will | |
| | | consider this comment in the | |
| | | authorization process for future | |
| | | proposed projects of this nature. | |

| Subject | ESS & EDITORIAL TOPICS Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Editorial Change | Inconsistent page numbers between table of contents and other sections of the document | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Re-number pages for consistency |
| Editorial Change | Recommend addition of specific information about parcels recommended for addition to TVSF in Chapter 4. | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Add list of parcels recommended for addition to Chapter 4, "Recommendations for Additions and Withdrawal" |
| Management Goals | Support of TVSF MP goals that balance protection of terrestrial and aquatic habitats and preservation of cultural resources with sustainable resource development and timber harvest. | Thank you for your comment. | No change |
| Editorial Change | Formatting Issues, Multiple Pages. In Chapter 2 many page headers are labeled "Transportation" or are incorrectly labeled. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Re-label page headings for consistency |
| Editorial Change | Erroneous capitalization in table of contents | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Adjust capitalizations |
| Consistency | Chapter 1, "Purpose of State Forest," line 32: "by the commissioner or" | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Add "or" to the end of line 32 |
| Consistency | "Relationship to other management plans:" Specify YTAP published in 2014, ETAP published in 2015, remove reference to TBAP | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Remove reference to TBAP, confirm publication dates of ETAP and YTAP |
| Editorial Change | Inconsistent use of "shall" and "will" | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Review document for consistency |
| Consistency | Pg 22, line 33: Easements do not undergo an .035(e) process but are also not a "permit". | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Remove "either through the original AS 38.05.035(e) process or a permit review process" |

| Amendment Process & Editorial Topics | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Editorial | Pg 22, Line 33: Best interest is most often associated with a 2 step Best Interest Finding Process outlined in .035. Permits and Easements are not subject to a BIF process. Removal of "best" reduces confusion but does not detract from the statement. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Remove "best" | |
| Consistency | Pg 84, Line 27: Tolovana Roadhouse, not Lodge | Thank you for your comment, Concur. | Change as described | |
| Consistency | Pg 98, Unit 4B: Suggest "Removed". LDA's such as TVSF are withdrawals; seems more appropriate to remove or release a withdrawal than to withdraw a withdrawal | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Change as described | |
| Editorial | Chapter 3: Page numbers out of sequence. Unit 10 should start on page 132 | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Re-number pages | |
| Consistency | Pg 150, Lines 17-18: Why would this trigger a plan amendment rather than simply embarking on an LLO/MCO process? | Closing a previously open site to Mineral Entry is listed in Regulation as an example of change requiring an amendment (11 AAC 55.030) | No change | |
| Consistency | Recommend updating glossary (guideline and policy) entries that cite TBAP | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Update references to reflect current area plans | |
| Public process and communication | Community Collaboration: Engage with local user groups, advocates, and volunteers to align the plan with community needs. | Thank you for your comment. DOF provides opportunities for interested parties, members of the public, and local, state, tribal, and federal governments to participate in the planning process through scoping periods and agency and public review. | No change | |

| Amendment Process & Editorial Topics | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Public Process and communication | An addition we would like to see is a newsletter (perhaps quarterly, or seasonally)available to keep the public updated on forest concerns available digitally or in print to any one who requests it. | Thank you for your comment. DOF will consider this request. Information about forest activities in Alaska is published in the DOF annual report and Five Year Schedule of Timber Sales. | No change |
| Purpose and Scope | The CAC encourages the plan development team to maintain a structure and tone throughout the plan revision that focuses on the primary purpose of the TVSF (i.e. timber and wildlife management) as stated in Chapter I: Introduction, Purpose of the Tanana Valley State Forest. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | No change |

| AMENDMENT PRO | Amendment Process & Editorial Topics | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Subject Purpose and Scope | Issue SummaryThe Tanana Valley State Forest Citizen Advisory Committee made a motion and voted to support the expansion of the Tanana Valley State Forest at the April 2024 meeting in Fairbanks, Alaska. Joe Young from Tok approached the board for support to expand the Tanana Valley State Forest in the Upper Tanana Region, there were specific areas on the map that were identified. The TVSFCAC unanimously | Thank you for your comment. The TVSF Management Plan is intended to document the DOF's management policy for resources within TVSF. According to its By-Laws, The purpose statement of the TVSF CAC includes "2. to inquire into matters of community interest relating to the Forest and to bring these matters to the attention of the public"; and 3. "To make recommendations to the Director and forest managers of the DOF concerning, among other things b. TVSF management Plans | Recommended Change Chapter 4, proposed Additions to the State Forest has been updated. | | |
| | | amendments and updates; c. TVSF policies and management guidelines" DOF acknowledges the recommendations that were shared by the TVSF CAC. The TVSF CAC may, per committee By-Laws, bring these matters to the attention of the public, but the recommendations of the CAC do not necessarily represent the management policy of the Division, so will not be included in the management plan. | | | |

| Amendment Process & Editorial Topics | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Public Process | On Page 86, Line 23 Timber development cooperation in | Thank you for your comment. Rather | Revise to "Alaska Native | |
| and | Unit 2 should also mention Toghotthele Corp in addition to | than add specific or comprehensive | Corporations" | |
| Communication | Doyon. | lists, the language in this plan will be | | |
| | | reworked to allow as much flexibility | | |
| | | as possible to accommodate a range | | |
| | | of circumstances. | | |